CLCON 18 of 2001

Hon'ble Irshad Hussain, J.

Heard Sri Harsh Vardhan Shah learned counsel for the petitioner. The petition was filed alleging that the compliance of the order of the Court dated 25.4.2000 was not made by the respondent. In the counter affidavit it is stated that the compliance has been made by passing an order dated 11.4.2001 on the representation of the petitioner. A copy of the same is Annexure-6 to the counter affidavit. The learned counsel for the petitioner states that the copy of that order has never been received by the petitioner. This aspect of the matter cannot be considered here and if the petitioner is aggrieved by the rejection of his representation he may have recourse to appropriate remedy. No doubt there was delay in deciding the petition of the petitioner. However there appear no wilful disobedience of the order of the Court .Considering this the petition is dismissed and the notice discharged.

(Irshad Hussain, J.)

Dt. 29-8-2003
ISB